IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 164 OF 2007**
IN
W.P. NO. 3729 OF 2005

Mr.Gustap Rashid Irani, .. Petitioner.
representated by his Power of
Attorney Holder Shri Sudhir
Yashwant Mandke.

V/s.

1. Pune Municipal Corporation, .. Respondents.
through its commissioner & Ors.

---

**WITH**
**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 165 OF 2007**
IN
W.P. NO.3730 OF 2005

Mr.Gustap Rashid Irani, .. Petitioner.
representated by his Power of
Attorney Holder Shri Sudhir
Yashwant Mandke.

V/s.

1. Pune Municipal Corporation, .. Respondents.
through its commissioner & Ors.

---

Mr.R.S.Apte with A.A.Garge for the Petitioner in both the petitions.

Mr.R.G.Ketkar for the Respondents in both the petitions.

---

**CORAM : S. A. BOBDE, J.**

**DATED : 12th OCTOBER, 2007.**

**P.C. :-**

. Heard.

2. Mr.Ketkar, the learned counsel for the respondent corporation states that the entire amount liable to be refunded has been refunded to the petitioner and no further amount is liable to be refunded. The learned counsel for the petitioner denies the receipt of the entire amount. However, by consent the parties agree to the following order in both the petitions :

i. The petitioner may make such representation to the Corporation for such additional amount as he believes himself to be entitled to from the Corporation.

ii. The Corporation shall decide the representation within a period of three months, on its receipt.

iii. If the petitioner still has any claim he is at liberty to resort to such appropriate proceedings in law, as may be advised.

3. Order accordingly. Both the petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

(S.A.BOBDE,J.)

.....